



# State Statutory Provisions Addressing Mutual Protection Orders

Revised 2017

Toll Free: (800) 903-0111, prompt 2

Direct: (703) 312-7922

Fax: (703) 312-7966

Email: [ncffc@bwjp.org](mailto:ncffc@bwjp.org)

Web: [www.fullfaithandcredit.org](http://www.fullfaithandcredit.org)

This document is for informational purposes only. Nothing contained in this document is intended as legal advice to any person or entity. Statutes are constantly changing. Please independently verify the information found in this document.

This project is supported by Grant No. 2016-TA-AX-K052 awarded by the Office on Violence Against Women, U.S. Department of Justice.

The opinions, findings, conclusions, and recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the U.S. Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

NOTE: For your convenience, hyperlinks are located on each page number in this Table of Contents.  
For faster access, please select the page number you would like to view.

ALABAMA .....	3	NEBRASKA.....	8
ALASKA.....	3	NEVADA .....	8
AMERICAN SAMOA .....	3	NEW HAMPSHIRE .....	8
ARIZONA .....	3	NEW JERSEY .....	9
ARKANSAS .....	3	NEW MEXICO.....	9
CALIFORNIA.....	3	NEW YORK.....	9
COLORADO .....	4	NORTH CAROLINA.....	9
CONNECTICUT .....	4	NORTH DAKOTA.....	9
DELAWARE.....	4	NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS .....	10
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.....	4	OHIO .....	10
FLORIDA .....	4	OKLAHOMA .....	10
GEORGIA .....	5	OREGON .....	11
GUAM.....	5	PENNSYLVANIA .....	11
HAWAII.....	5	PUERTO RICO .....	11
IDAHO .....	5	RHODE ISLAND.....	11
ILLINOIS.....	5	SOUTH CAROLINA.....	11
INDIANA.....	5	SOUTH DAKOTA.....	11
IOWA.....	6	TENNESSEE .....	12
KANSAS .....	6	TEXAS.....	12
KENTUCKY .....	6	UTAH .....	12
LOUISIANA.....	7	VERMONT .....	13
MAINE .....	7	VIRGIN ISLANDS .....	13
MARYLAND.....	7	VIRGINIA.....	13
MASSACHUSETTS .....	7	WASHINGTON .....	13
MICHIGAN .....	7	WEST VIRGINIA.....	13
MINNESOTA .....	7	WISCONSIN .....	13
MISSISSIPPI .....	7	WYOMING .....	14
MISSOURI .....	8		
MONTANA .....	8		

STATE	Statutory Language on Whether a Court May Enter Mutual Protection Order Provisions and/or Enforcement of Mutual Protection Order
ALABAMA	<p style="text-align: center;">Ala. Code §30-5-5(d)</p> <p>(d) The court shall not enter mutual orders. The court shall issue separate orders that specifically and independently state the prohibited behavior and relief granted in order to protect the victim and the victim's immediate family and to clearly provide law enforcement with sufficient directives.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ala. Code § 30-5B-3(h)</p> <p>(h) A court of this state may enforce provisions of a mutual foreign protection order which favor a respondent only if both of the following criteria are met:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) The respondent filed a written pleading seeking a protection order from the tribunal of the issuing state.</li> <li>(2) The tribunal of the issuing state made specific findings in favor of the respondent.</li> </ol>
ALASKA	<p style="text-align: center;">Alaska Stat. §18.66.130(b)</p> <p>(b) A court may not grant protective orders against the petitioner and the respondent in the same action under this chapter.</p>
AMERICAN SAMOA	Statute is silent
ARIZONA	<p style="text-align: center;">Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. §13-3602(H)</p> <p>The court shall not grant a mutual order of protection. If opposing parties separately file verified petitions for an order of protection, the courts after consultation between the judges involved may consolidate the petitions of the opposing parties for hearing. This does not prohibit a court from issuing cross orders of protection.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 13-3602 (S)(3)</p> <p>3. A mutual protection order that is issued against both the party who filed a petition or a complaint or otherwise filed a written pleading for protection against abuse and the person against whom the filing was made is not entitled to full faith and credit if either:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) The person against whom an initial order was sought has not filed a cross or counter petition or other written pleading seeking a protection order.</li> <li>(b) The issuing court failed to make specific findings supporting the entitlement of both parties to be granted a protection order.</li> </ol>
ARKANSAS	<p style="text-align: center;">Ark. Code Ann. §9-15-216</p> <p>(a) Except as provided in subsection (b) of this section, a circuit court shall not grant a mutual order of protection to opposing parties.</p> <p>(b) Separate orders of protection restraining each opposing party may only be granted in cases in which each party:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Has properly filed and served a petition for an order of protection;</li> <li>(2) Has committed domestic abuse as defined in § 9-15-103;</li> <li>(3) Poses a risk of violence to the other; and</li> <li>(4) Has otherwise satisfied all prerequisites for the type of order and remedies sought.</li> </ol>
CALIFORNIA	Cal. Fam. Code §6305

STATE	Statutory Language on Whether a Court May Enter Mutual Protection Order Provisions and/or Enforcement of Mutual Protection Order
	<p>(a) The court shall not issue a mutual order enjoining the parties from specific acts of abuse described in Section 6320 unless both of the following apply:</p> <p>(1) Both parties personally appear and each party presents written evidence of abuse or domestic violence in an application for relief using a mandatory Judicial Council restraining order application form. For purposes of this paragraph, written evidence of abuse or domestic violence in a responsive pleading does not satisfy the party's obligation to present written evidence of abuse or domestic violence. By July 1, 2016, the Judicial Council shall modify forms as necessary to provide notice of this information.</p> <p>(2) The court makes detailed findings of fact indicating that both parties acted as a primary aggressor and that neither party acted primarily in self-defense.</p> <p>(b) For purposes of subdivision (a), in determining if both parties acted primarily as aggressors, the court shall consider the provisions concerning dominant aggressors set forth in paragraph (3) of subdivision (c) of Section 836 of the Penal Code.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Cal Fam Code § 6402(g)</p> <p>(g) A tribunal of this state may enforce provisions of a mutual foreign protection order which favor a respondent only if both of the following are true:</p> <p>(1) The respondent filed a written pleading seeking a protection order from the tribunal of the issuing state.</p> <p>(2) The tribunal of the issuing state made specific findings in favor of the respondent.</p>
<b>COLORADO</b>	<p style="text-align: center;">Colo. Rev. Stat. §13-14-106(3)</p> <p>(3) A court shall not grant a mutual protection order to prevent domestic abuse for the protection of opposing parties unless each party has met his or her burden of proof as described in section 13-14-104.5 (7) and the court makes separate and sufficient findings of fact to support the issuance of the mutual protection order to prevent domestic abuse for the protection of opposing parties. A party may not waive the requirements set forth in this subsection (3).</p>
<b>CONNECTICUT</b>	Statute is silent.
<b>DELAWARE</b>	<p style="text-align: center;">Del. Code Ann. tit. 10, § 1049B (g)</p> <p>(g) The Court may enforce provisions of a mutual foreign protection order which favor a respondent only if:</p> <p>(1) The respondent filed a written pleading seeking a protection order from the tribunal of the issuing state; and</p> <p>(2) The tribunal of the issuing state made specific findings in favor of the respondent.</p>
<b>DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA</b>	<p style="text-align: center;">D.C. Code § 16-1042 (g)</p> <p>(g) A tribunal of the District may enforce provisions of a mutual foreign protection order which favor a respondent only if:</p> <p>(1) The respondent filed a written pleading seeking a protection order from the tribunal of the issuing State; and</p> <p>(2) The tribunal of the issuing State made specific findings in favor of the respondent.</p>
<b>FLORIDA</b>	<p style="text-align: center;">Fla. Stat. §741-30(1)(i)</p>

STATE	Statutory Language on Whether a Court May Enter Mutual Protection Order Provisions and/or Enforcement of Mutual Protection Order
	The court is prohibited from issuing mutual orders of protection. This does not preclude the court from issuing separate injunctions for protection against domestic violence where each party has complied with the provisions of this section. Compliance with the provisions of this section cannot be waived.
GEORGIA	<p style="text-align: center;">Ga. Code Ann. §19-13-4(a)</p> <p>(a) The court may, upon the filing of a verified petition, grant any protective order or approve any consent agreement to bring about a cessation of acts of family violence. The court shall not have the authority to issue or approve mutual protective orders concerning paragraph (1), (2), (5), (9), or (11) of this subsection, or any combination thereof, unless the respondent has filed a verified petition as a counter petition pursuant to Code Section 19-13-3 no later than three days, not including Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays, prior to the hearing and the provisions of Code Section 19-13-3 have been satisfied.</p>
GUAM	Statute is silent.
HAWAII	<p style="text-align: center;">Haw. Rev. Stat. § 586-12</p> <p>No protective order shall be entered against the plaintiff in the same petition unless:</p> <p>(1) The respondent properly files a separate petition; and</p> <p>(2) The plaintiff has reasonable notice of the filing of the separate petition.</p>
IDAHO	<p style="text-align: center;">Idaho Code § 39-6306A (g)</p> <p>(g) A tribunal of this state may enforce provisions of a mutual foreign protection order which favor a respondent only if:</p> <p>(i) The respondent filed a written pleading seeking a protection order from the tribunal of the issuing state; and</p> <p>(ii) The tribunal of the issuing state made specific findings in favor of the respondent.</p>
ILLINOIS	<p style="text-align: center;">750 Ill. Comp. Stat. 60/215</p> <p>Mutual orders of protection; correlative separate orders. Mutual orders of protection are prohibited. Correlative separate orders of protection undermine the purposes of this Act and are prohibited unless both parties have properly filed written pleadings, proved past abuse by the other party, given prior written notice to the other party unless excused under Section 217 [750 ILCS 60/217], satisfied all prerequisites for the type of order and each remedy granted, and otherwise complied with this Act. In these cases, the court shall hear relevant evidence, make findings, and issue separate orders in accordance with Sections 214 and 221 [750 ILCS 60/214 and 750 ILCS 60/221]. The fact that correlative separate orders are issued shall not be a sufficient basis to deny any remedy to petitioner or to prove that the parties are equally at fault or equally endangered.</p>
INDIANA	<p style="text-align: center;">Ind. Code. § 34-26-5-14</p> <p>(a) A court may not grant a mutual order for protection to opposing parties.</p> <p>(b) If both parties allege injury, the parties shall do so by separate petitions. The trial court shall review each petition separately in an individual or a consolidated hearing and grant or deny each petition on the petition's individual merits. If the trial court finds cause to grant both petitions, the court shall do so by separate orders with specific findings justifying the issuance of each order.</p>

STATE	Statutory Language on Whether a Court May Enter Mutual Protection Order Provisions and/or Enforcement of Mutual Protection Order
	<p style="text-align: center;">Ind. Code § 34-26-5-17 (d)</p> <p>(d) A mutual foreign protection order is not entitled to full faith and credit if the order is issued by a state or tribal court against a person who has petitioned, filed a complaint, or otherwise filed a written pleading for protection against a family or household member, unless:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) a separate petition or motion was filed by a respondent;</li> <li>(2) the issuing court has reviewed each motion separately and granted or denied each on its individual merits; and</li> <li>(3) separate orders were issued and the issuing court made specific findings that each party was entitled to an order.</li> </ol>
IOWA	<p style="text-align: center;">Iowa Code §236.20</p> <p>A court in an action under this chapter shall not issue mutual protective orders against the victim and the abuser unless both file a petition requesting a protective order.</p>
KANSAS	<p style="text-align: center;">Kan. Stat. Ann. §60-3107(b)</p> <p>(b) No protection from abuse order shall be entered against the plaintiff unless:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) The defendant properly files a written cross or counter petition seeking such a protection order;</li> <li>(2) The plaintiff had reasonable notice of the written cross or counter petition by personal service as provided in subsection (d) of K.S.A. 60-3104, and amendments thereto; and</li> <li>(3) The issuing court made specific findings of abuse against both the plaintiff and the defendant and determined that both parties acted primarily as aggressors and neither party acted primarily in self-defense.</li> </ol> <p>K.S.A. § 60-31b03(g)</p> <p>(g) A court of this state may enforce provisions of a mutual foreign protection order which favor a respondent only if:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) The respondent filed a written pleading seeking a protection order from the tribunal of the issuing state; and</li> <li>(2) the tribunal of the issuing state made specific findings in favor of the respondent.</li> </ol>
KENTUCKY	<p style="text-align: center;">Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. §403.745(4)</p> <p>(4) Mutual orders of protection may be issued only if:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Separate petitions have been filed by both parties; and</li> <li>(b) The orders are written with sufficient specificity to allow any peace officer to identify which party has violated the order.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 456.070(4)</p> <p>(4) Mutual protective orders may be issued only if:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Separate petitions have been filed by both parties; and</li> <li>(b) The orders are written with sufficient specificity to allow any peace officer to identify which party has violated the order.</li> </ol>

STATE	Statutory Language on Whether a Court May Enter Mutual Protection Order Provisions and/or Enforcement of Mutual Protection Order
LOUISIANA	<p style="text-align: center;">La. Child. Code Ann. art. 1570(1)</p> <p>(1)A court shall not grant a mutual order for protection to opposing parties. However, nothing contained in this Paragraph shall be construed to prohibit the court from granting a protective order to a party in a subsequently filed Petition for Domestic Abuse Assistance provided that the provisions contained in R.S. 46:2136(B) have been met.</p>
MAINE	<p style="text-align: center;">Me. Rev. Stat. Ann. tit. 19-A, §4007(7)</p> <p>(7)The court may not issue a mutual order of protection or restraint.</p>
MARYLAND	<p style="text-align: center;">Md. Code Ann., Fam. Law §4-506(c)(3)</p> <p>(3)  (i) Subject to the provisions of subparagraph (ii) of this paragraph, in cases where both parties file a petition under § 4-504 of this subtitle, the judge may issue mutual protective orders if the judge finds by a preponderance of the evidence that mutual abuse has occurred.  (ii) The judge may issue mutual final protective orders only if the judge makes a detailed finding of fact that:  1. both parties acted primarily as aggressors; and  2. neither party acted primarily in self-defense.</p>
MASSACHUSETTS	<p style="text-align: center;">Mass. Ann. Laws ch. 209A §3</p> <p>“...A court may issue a mutual restraining order or mutual no-contact order pursuant to any abuse prevention action only if the court has made specific written findings of fact. The court shall then provide a detailed order, sufficiently specific to apprise any law officer as to which party has violated the order, if the parties are in or appear to be in violation of the order...”</p>
MICHIGAN	<p style="text-align: center;">Mich. Comp. Laws Ann. §600.2950a(8)</p> <p>(8) A personal protection order shall not be made mutual. Correlative separate personal protection orders are prohibited unless both parties have properly petitioned the court under subsection (1) or (2).</p>
MINNESOTA	<p>Statute is silent.</p>
MISSISSIPPI	<p style="text-align: center;">Miss. Code Ann. §93-21-15(3)</p> <p>(3) Every domestic abuse protection order issued pursuant to this section shall set forth the reasons for its issuance, shall contain specific findings of fact regarding the existence of abuse, shall be specific in its terms and shall describe in reasonable detail the act or acts to be prohibited. No mutual protection order shall be issued unless that order is supported by an independent petition by each party requesting relief pursuant to this chapter, and the order contains specific findings of fact regarding the existence of abuse by each party as principal aggressor, and a finding that neither party acted in self-defense.</p>

STATE	Statutory Language on Whether a Court May Enter Mutual Protection Order Provisions and/or Enforcement of Mutual Protection Order
	<p style="text-align: center;">Miss. Code Ann. § 93-22-5 (7)</p> <p>(7) A tribunal of this state may enforce the provisions of a mutual foreign protection order which favor a respondent only if:            (a) The respondent filed a written pleading seeking a protection order from the tribunal of the issuing state; and            (b) The tribunal of the issuing state made specific findings in favor of the respondent.</p>
MISSOURI	<p style="text-align: center;">Mo. Rev. Stat. §455.050 (2)</p> <p>2. Mutual orders of protection are prohibited unless both parties have properly filed written petitions and proper service has been made in accordance with sections 455.010 to 455.085.</p>
MONTANA	<p style="text-align: center;">Mont. Code Ann. §40-15-202(3)</p> <p>(3) The order of protection may not be made mutually effective by the court. The respondent may obtain an order of protection from the petitioner only by filing an application for an order of protection and following the procedure described in this chapter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Mont. Code Ann. § 40-15-403(8)</p> <p>(8) A court of this state may enforce provisions of a mutual foreign protection order that favor a respondent only if:            (a) the respondent filed a written pleading seeking a protection order from the court of the issuing state; and            (b) the court of the issuing state made specific findings in favor of the respondent.</p>
NEBRASKA	<p style="text-align: center;">Neb. Rev. Stat. Ann. §42-924.03</p> <p>A court shall only grant a respondent a protection order if (1) the respondent files a cross or counter petition seeking a protection order and (2) the issuing court makes specific findings of domestic or family abuse against the respondent and determines that the respondent is entitled to a protection order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Neb. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 42-934(g)</p> <p>(g) A tribunal of this state may enforce provisions of a mutual foreign protection order which favor a respondent only if:            (1) the respondent filed a written pleading seeking a protection order from the tribunal of the issuing state; and            (2) the tribunal of the issuing state made specific findings in favor of the respondent.</p>
NEVADA	<p style="text-align: center;">Nev. Rev. Stat. Ann. §33.085 (2)</p> <p>2. If the order for protection against domestic violence issued by the court of another state, territory or Indian tribe is a mutual order for protection against domestic violence and:            (a) No counter or cross-petition or other pleading was filed by the adverse party; or            (b) A counter or cross-petition or other pleading was filed and the court did not make a specific finding of domestic violence by both parties, the court shall refuse to enforce the order against the applicant and may determine whether to issue its own temporary or extended order.</p>
NEW HAMPSHIRE	<p style="text-align: center;">N.H. Rev. Stat. Ann. §173-B:5(V)</p> <p>(a) Mutual orders for relief shall not be granted. A foreign mutual order for relief shall only be granted full faith and credit in New Hampshire if it</p>

STATE	Statutory Language on Whether a Court May Enter Mutual Protection Order Provisions and/or Enforcement of Mutual Protection Order
	<p>meets the requirements set out in RSA 173-B:13, VII.</p> <p>(b) Cross orders for relief may be granted only if:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) The court has made specific findings that each party has committed abuse against the other; and</li> <li>(2) The court cannot determine who is the primary physical aggressor.</li> </ol>
NEW JERSEY	Statute is silent.
NEW MEXICO	<p style="text-align: center;">N.M. Stat. Ann. §40-13-5(D)</p> <p>A mutual order of protection shall be issued only in cases where both parties have petitioned the court and the court makes detailed findings of fact indicating that both parties acted primarily as aggressors and that neither party acted primarily in self-defense.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">N.M. Stat. Ann. § 40-13A-3 (G)</p> <p>G. A New Mexico tribunal may enforce provisions of a mutual foreign protection order only if:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) both parties filed a written pleading seeking a protection order from the tribunal of the issuing state; and</li> <li>(2) the tribunal of the issuing state made specific findings that each party was entitled to a protection order.</li> </ol>
NEW YORK	<p style="text-align: center;">N.Y. Fam. Ct. Act §154-b(1)</p> <p>(1) In every proceeding under articles four, five, six and eight of this act in which an order of protection is requested, the respondent may file with the court an answer to the petition and a counter-claim. A counter-claim shall be heard in the same manner as a petition and may be heard on the return date of the petition, provided that the counter-claim is served on the petitioner no later than five days prior to the return date and said counter-claim and proof of service is filed with the court. The petitioner may file and serve a reply to the counter-claim. A denial of the allegations of the counter-claim shall be presumed if the petitioner does not file and serve a reply.</p>
NORTH CAROLINA	<p style="text-align: center;">N.C. Gen. Stat. §50B-3(b)</p> <p>(b) Protective orders entered pursuant to this Chapter shall be for a fixed period of time not to exceed one year. The court may renew a protective order for a fixed period of time not to exceed two years, including an order that previously has been renewed, upon a motion by the aggrieved party filed before the expiration of the current order; provided, however, that a temporary award of custody entered as part of a protective order may not be renewed to extend a temporary award of custody beyond the maximum one-year period. The court may renew a protective order for good cause. The commission of an act as defined in G.S. 50B-1(a) by the defendant after entry of the current order is not required for an order to be renewed. Protective orders entered, including consent orders, shall not be mutual in nature except where both parties file a claim and the court makes detailed findings of fact indicating that both parties acted as aggressors, that neither party acted primarily in self-defense, and that the right of each party to due process is preserved.</p>
NORTH DAKOTA	N.D. Cent. Code §14-07.1-02(5)

STATE	Statutory Language on Whether a Court May Enter Mutual Protection Order Provisions and/or Enforcement of Mutual Protection Order
	<p>5. A court of competent jurisdiction may issue a dual protection order restricting both parties involved in a domestic violence dispute if each party has commenced an action pursuant to subsection 1 and the court, after a hearing, has made specific written findings of fact that both parties committed acts of domestic violence and that neither party acted in self-defense. The order must clearly define the responsibilities and restrictions placed upon each party so that a law enforcement officer may readily determine which party has violated the order if a violation is alleged to have occurred.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">N.D. Cent. Code §14-07.4-02 (6)</p> <p>6. A tribunal of this state may enforce the provisions of a mutual foreign protection order which favor a respondent only if:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. The respondent filed a written pleading seeking a protection order from the tribunal of the issuing state; and</li> <li>b. The tribunal of the issuing state made specific findings in favor of the respondent.</li> </ol>
NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS	<p style="text-align: center;">N.M.I.C Code § 1920</p> <p>A court may grant mutual orders for protection to opposing parties where the court deems it in the best interest of the parties.</p>
OHIO	<p style="text-align: center;">Ohio Rev. Code Ann. §3113.31(E) (4)</p> <p>(4) A court may not issue a protection order that requires a petitioner to do or to refrain from doing an act that the court may require a respondent to do or to refrain from doing under division (E)(1)(a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (g), or (h) of this section unless all of the following apply:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) The respondent files a separate petition for a protection order in accordance with this section.</li> <li>(b) The petitioner is served notice of the respondent's petition at least forty-eight hours before the court holds a hearing with respect to the respondent's petition, or the petitioner waives the right to receive this notice.</li> <li>(c) If the petitioner has requested an ex parte order pursuant to division (D) of this section, the court does not delay any hearing required by that division beyond the time specified in that division in order to consolidate the hearing with a hearing on the petition filed by the respondent.</li> <li>(d) After a full hearing at which the respondent presents evidence in support of the request for a protection order and the petitioner is afforded an opportunity to defend against that evidence, the court determines that the petitioner has committed an act of domestic violence or has violated a temporary protection order issued pursuant to section 2919.26 of the Revised Code, that both the petitioner and the respondent acted primarily as aggressors, and that neither the petitioner nor the respondent acted primarily in self-defense.</li> </ol>
OKLAHOMA	<p style="text-align: center;">22 Okl. Stat. §60.4(J)</p> <p>A court shall not issue any mutual protective orders.</p> <p>If both parties allege domestic abuse by the other party, the parties shall do so by separate petitions. The court shall review each petition separately in an individual or a consolidated hearing and grant or deny each petition on its individual merits. If the court finds cause to grant both motions, the court shall do so by separate orders and with specific findings justifying the issuance of each order.</p> <p>The court may only consolidate a hearing if:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) The court makes specific findings that: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) sufficient evidence exists of domestic abuse, stalking, harassment or rape against each party, and</li> <li>(2) each party acted primarily as aggressors, and</li> </ol> </li> <li>(b) the defendant filed a petition with the court for a protective order no less than three (3) days, not including weekends or holidays, prior to the first scheduled full hearing on the petition filed by the plaintiff, and</li> </ol>

STATE	Statutory Language on Whether a Court May Enter Mutual Protection Order Provisions and/or Enforcement of Mutual Protection Order
	<p>(c) the defendant had no less than forty-eight (48) hours of notice prior to the full hearing on the petition filed by the plaintiff.</p> <p>Okla. Stat. tit. 22 §60.23 (G)</p> <p>G. A tribunal of this state may enforce provisions of a mutual foreign protection order which favor a respondent only if:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The respondent filed a written pleading seeking a protection order from the tribunal of the issuing state; and</li> <li>2. The tribunal of the issuing state made specific findings in favor of the respondent.</li> </ol>
OREGON	Statute is silent.
PENNSYLVANIA	<p style="text-align: center;">23 Pa. C.S. §6108 (c)</p> <p>(c) Mutual orders of protection.--Mutual orders of protection shall not be awarded unless both parties have filed timely written petitions, complied with service requirements under section 6106 (relating to commencement of proceedings) and are eligible for protection under this chapter. The court shall make separate findings and, where issuing orders on behalf of both petitioners, enter separate orders.</p>
PUERTO RICO	<p style="text-align: center;">8 L.P.R.A. § 621a</p> <p>The Court shall not issue mutual restraining orders to the parties, unless each of the parties:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) has filed an independent petition requesting a protection order against the other party;</li> <li>(b) has been notified of the petition filed by the other party;</li> <li>(c) proves in an evidentiary hearing that the other party incurred conduct which constitutes domestic violence; and</li> <li>(d) proves that the domestic violence did not occur within a self-defense context.</li> </ol>
RHODE ISLAND	<p style="text-align: center;">R.I. Gen. Laws Ann. § 15-15.1-3</p> <p>(g) The court may enforce provisions of a mutual foreign protection order which favor a respondent only if:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) The respondent filed a written pleading seeking a protection order from the tribunal of the issuing state; and</li> <li>(2) The tribunal of the issuing state made specific findings in favor of the respondent.</li> </ol>
SOUTH CAROLINA	<p style="text-align: center;">S.C. Code Ann. §20-4-60(E)</p> <p>E. No mutual order of protection may be granted unless the court sets forth findings of fact necessitating the mutual order or unless both parties consent to a mutual order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">S.C. Code Ann. § 20-4-330 (G)</p> <p>(G) A tribunal of this State may enforce provisions of a mutual foreign protection order which favor a respondent only if:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) the respondent filed a written pleading seeking a protection order from the tribunal of the issuing state; and</li> <li>(2) the tribunal of the issuing state made specific findings in favor of the respondent.</li> </ol>
SOUTH DAKOTA	S.D. Codified Laws §25-10-5.2

STATE	Statutory Language on Whether a Court May Enter Mutual Protection Order Provisions and/or Enforcement of Mutual Protection Order
	<p>No court may, pursuant to the provisions of § 25-10-5, issue a mutual order enjoining both petitioner and respondent from committing acts of domestic abuse unless:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Both the petitioner and the respondent personally appear;</li> <li>(2) The respondent alleges, under oath, the existence of domestic abuse by stating the specific facts and circumstances of the domestic abuse;</li> <li>(3) The court finds, by a preponderance of the evidence, that domestic abuse has taken place.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">S.D. Codified Laws § 25-10-12.1(5)</p> <p>(5) If the order also provides protection for the respondent, a petition, application, or other written pleading was filed with the issuing court seeking such an order and the issuing court made specific findings that the respondent was entitled to the order;</p>
<b>TENNESSEE</b>	<p style="text-align: center;">Tenn. Code Ann. § 36-3-622 (d)</p> <p>(d) A protection order entered against both the petitioner and respondent shall not be enforceable against the petitioner in a foreign jurisdiction unless:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) The respondent filed a cross- or counter-petition, or a complaint or other written pleading was filed seeking such a protection order; and</li> <li>(2) The issuing court made specific findings of domestic or family violence against the petitioner.</li> </ol>
<b>TEXAS</b>	<p style="text-align: center;">Tex. Fam Code §85.003</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) A court that renders separate protective orders that apply to both parties and require both parties to do or refrain from doing acts under Section 85.022 shall render two distinct and separate protective orders in two separate documents that reflect the appropriate conditions for each party.</li> <li>(b) A court that renders protective orders that apply to both parties and require both parties to do or refrain from doing acts under Section 85.022 shall render the protective orders in two separate documents. The court shall provide one of the documents to the applicant and the other document to the respondent.</li> <li>(c) A court may not render one protective order under Section 85.022 that applies to both parties.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Tex. Fam. Code § 88.003 (g)</p> <p>(g) A tribunal of this state may enforce the provisions of a mutual foreign protective order that favor a respondent only if:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) the respondent filed a written pleading seeking a protective order from the tribunal of the issuing state; and</li> <li>(2) the tribunal of the issuing state made specific findings in favor of the respondent.</li> </ol>
<b>UTAH</b>	<p style="text-align: center;">Utah Code Ann. §78B-7-108</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) A court may not grant a mutual order or mutual orders for protection to opposing parties, unless each party: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) has filed an independent petition against the other for a protective order, and both petitions have been served;</li> <li>(b) makes a showing at a due process protective order hearing of abuse or domestic violence committed by the other party; and</li> <li>(c) demonstrates the abuse or domestic violence did not occur in self-defense.</li> </ol> </li> <li>(2) If the court issues mutual protective orders, the circumstances justifying those orders shall be documented in the case file.</li> </ol>

STATE	Statutory Language on Whether a Court May Enter Mutual Protection Order Provisions and/or Enforcement of Mutual Protection Order
	<p style="text-align: center;">Utah Code Ann. § 78B-7-303 (7)</p> <p>(7) A tribunal of this state may enforce provisions of a mutual foreign protection order which favor a respondent only if:            (a) the respondent filed a written pleading seeking a protection order from the tribunal of the issuing state; and            (b) the tribunal of the issuing state made specific findings in favor of the respondent.</p>
<b>VERMONT</b>	Statute is silent.
<b>VIRGIN ISLANDS</b>	<p style="text-align: center;">5 V.I.C. § 583 (g)</p> <p>(g) A tribunal of this State may enforce provisions of a mutual foreign protection order which favor a respondent only if:            (1) the respondent filed a written pleading seeking a protection order from the tribunal of the issuing State; and            (2) the tribunal of the issuing State made specific findings in favor of the respondent.</p>
<b>VIRGINIA</b>	Statute is silent.
<b>WASHINGTON</b>	<p style="text-align: center;">Wash. Rev. Code Ann. § 26.50.060 (4)</p> <p>(4) In providing relief under this chapter, the court may realign the designation of the parties as "petitioner" and "respondent" where the court finds that the original petitioner is the abuser and the original respondent is the victim of domestic violence and may issue an ex parte temporary order for protection in accordance with RCW 26.50.070 on behalf of the victim until the victim is able to prepare a petition for an order for protection in accordance with RCW 26.50.030.</p>
<b>WEST VIRGINIA</b>	<p style="text-align: center;">W. Va. Code Ann. §48-27-507</p> <p>Mutual protective orders are prohibited unless both parties have filed a petition under part 3 [§§ 48-27-301 et seq.] of this article and have proven the allegations of domestic violence by a preponderance of the evidence. This shall not prevent other persons, including the respondent, from filing a separate petition. The court may consolidate two or more petitions if he or she determines that consolidation will further the interest of justice and judicial economy. The court shall enter a separate order for each petition filed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">W.Va. Code Ann. § 48-28-3 (g)</p> <p>(g) A court of this State may enforce provisions of a mutual foreign protection order which favor a respondent only if:            (1) The respondent filed a written pleading seeking a protection order from the tribunal of the issuing state; and            (2) The tribunal of the issuing state made specific findings in favor of the respondent.</p>
<b>WISCONSIN</b>	<p style="text-align: center;">Wis. Stat. §813.12(4)(b)</p> <p>The judge or circuit court commissioner may enter an injunction only against the respondent named in the petition. No injunction may be issued under this subsection under the same case number against the person petitioning for the injunction. The judge or circuit court commissioner may not modify an order restraining the respondent based solely on the request of the respondent.</p>

STATE	Statutory Language on Whether a Court May Enter Mutual Protection Order Provisions and/or Enforcement of Mutual Protection Order
WYOMING	<p style="text-align: center;">Wyo. Stat. Ann. §35-21-105(h)</p> <p>(h) The court shall not make any provisions of a single order of protection mutually effective. The court may issue a separate order of protection to each party, provided:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(i) Each party has filed a separate written petition for an order of protection; and</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(ii) The court makes specific findings on the record that both parties have committed acts of domestic abuse and that each party is entitled to a separate order of protection.</p>