

APPENDIX A

Compliance with & Evidence Obtained in the Domestic Abuse Incident Response Protocol (as % of Cases)

| | Yes | No | Not Asked | N/A |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Officers Indicated Checking for Presence of OFP/NCO | 37% | 63% | - | - |
| Presence of OFP/NCO when Officers indicated checking for it ¹ | 54% | 46% | - | - |
| Officers asked if Firearms are Present in the Home | 20% | 80% | - | - |
| Results of Officers asking if Firearms are Present in the home ² | 11% | 89% | - | - |
| Firearms Seized after Officers Asked if Firearms were present ³ | 22% | 78% | - | - |
| Officers requested Scales Statements from Defendant ⁴ | 78% | 22% | - | - |
| Results of Officer's Request for a Scales Statement ⁵ | 56% | 44% | - | - |
| | Obtained | Refused | | |
| Officers asked if Victim needed Medical Treatment ⁶ | 96% | 4% | - | - |
| Victim indicated that he/she would seek Medical Attention ⁶ | 12% | 83% | 5% | - |
| Officers obtained a signed Medical Release from Victim ⁷ | 76% | 24% | - | - |
| Officers property inventoried a completed Victim Supplement | 57% | 43% | - | - |
| Officers noted asking/checking to see if victim had injuries ⁸ | 97% | 3% | - | - |
| Officers noted in their report that Visible Injuries were present ⁹ | 55% | 45% | - | - |
| Officers took Photographs of Victim's Injuries ¹⁰ | 85% | 15% | - | - |
| Report alleges Damage to Property | 94% | 6% | - | - |
| Officer took Photographs of Damage to Property ¹¹ | 68% | 32% | - | - |
| Officers took Photographs of Defendant ¹² | 14% | 86% | - | - |
| Officers noted asking Victim Risk Assessment Questions | 5% | 95% | - | - |
| Victim Reported Abuse of Animal in Response to Risk Assessment ¹³ | 6% | 94% | - | - |
| Officers included Dispatch Information in Report | 60% | 40% | - | - |
| Officers described Victim's Demeanor in Report | 75% | 25% | - | - |
| Officers used Quotation Marks in Report to denote exact quotes | 53% | 47% | - | - |
| Officers included Alternate Contact Information for Victim | 29% | 71% | - | - |
| Officers property inventoried Relevant Evidence ¹⁴ | 75% | 25% | - | - |
| Officers noted asking if there were Witnesses to Incident | 39% | 61% | - | - |
| Officers noted Witness Name & Contact Information in Report ¹⁵ | 94% | 6% | - | - |
| Officers interviewed listed Witnesses regarding Incident ¹⁶ | 81% | 19% | - | - |
| Any Victim Contact with MCAO after initial report ¹⁷ | 87% | 13% | - | - |
| MCAO had Victim Input at Arraignment ¹⁷ | 57% | 43% | - | - |
| MCAO had Victim Input at Pretrial ¹⁷ | 71% | 29% | - | - |
| Victim indicated in Pretrial Input that Police Report was Accurate ¹⁷ | 40% | 27% | - | 32% |
| Victim's wishes for case resolution reported in MCAO input ¹⁷ | 20% | 47% | 8% | 25% |
| | Plea | Dismiss | Leave to CA | Unknown |
| Victim Appeared on Trial Date ¹⁸ | 60% | 40% | - | - |
| Victim Appeared on Trial date, but changed the version of events ¹⁹ | 32% | 68% | - | - |
| Victim Appeared in Court on Trial date, but denies a crime occurs ¹⁹ | 54% | 46% | - | - |

Footnotes for Appendix A

- ¹ Does not include 264 cases where officers did not indicate checking for presence of an OFP initially
- ² Does not include 332 cases where officers did not initially ask if firearms were present in the home
- ³ Does not include 332 cases where officers did not initially ask if firearms were present in the home or 75 cases where officers asked, but where there were no firearms in the home
- ⁴ Does not include 233 cases where the defendant was gone on arrival and thus not able to be interviewed
- ⁵ Does not include 41 cases where officers did not ask for defendant to submit to a statement or 233 cases where the defendant was gone on arrival
- ⁶ Does not include 79 cases where no physical assault was alleged as part of the police report
- ⁶ Does not include 79 cases where no physical assault was alleged as part of the police report or 15 cases where officers did not ask if victim would seek medical attention
- ⁷ Does not include 360 cases where victim indicated no injuries or that he or she would not seek medical attention at any time
- ⁸ Does not include 75 cases where no physical assault was alleged
- ⁹ Does not include 75 cases where no physical assault was alleged or 9 cases where officers did not ask if victim had visible injuries
- ¹⁰ Does not include 227 cases where there were no physical injuries to be photographed
- ¹¹ Does not include 335 cases where no damage to property was alleged
- ¹² Does not include 232 cases where defendant was gone on arrival and thus not able to be photographed
- ¹³ Does not include 397 cases where risk assessment questions were not asked
- ¹⁴ Does not include 365 cases where there was no relevant property to be property inventoried
- ¹⁵ Does not include 252 cases where officers did not ask if there were any witnesses or after asking, parties indicated that there were not any witnesses
- ¹⁶ Does not include 304 cases where there were no witnesses to be interviewed or officers did not indicate asking if there were witnesses
- ¹⁷ % only includes 171 cases that have reached a final resolution in court and whose files have been reviewed
- ¹⁷ % only includes 171 cases that have reached a final resolution in court and whose files have been reviewed
- ¹⁷ % only includes 171 cases that have reached a final resolution in court and whose files have been reviewed
- ¹⁷ % only includes 171 cases that have reached a final resolution in court and whose files have been reviewed
- ¹⁸ % only includes 41 cases which were resolved at the trial stage and whose files have been reviewed
- ¹⁹ % only includes 41 cases which were resolved at the trial stage and whose files have been reviewed
- ¹⁹ % only includes 41 cases which were resolved at the trial stage and whose files have been reviewed

Appendix B

Conviction Rate based on Evidence Collected & Compliance with Domestic Abuse Incident Protocol

| | Conviction Rate when Officers followed DA Protocol or Evidence Available | Conviction Rate when Officers did not follow DA Protocol or no Evidence Available |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Officers Indicated Checking for Presence of OFP/NCO | 83% | 73% |
| Presence of OFP/NCO when Officers indicated checking for it | 95% | 76% |
| Officers asked if Firearms are Present in the Home | 75% | 77% |
| Results of Officers asking if Firearms are Present in the home | 63% | 77% |
| Firearms Seized after Officers Asked if Firearms were present | 0% | 80% |
| Officers requested Scales Statements from Defendant | 76% | 77% |
| Results of Officer's Request for a Scales Statement | Obtained 72% Refused 80% | Not Requested 77% |
| Officers asked if Victim needed Medical Treatment | 76% | 50% |
| Victim indicated that he/she would seek Medical Attention | 87% | 75% |
| Officers obtained a signed Medical Release from Victim | 70% | 75% |
| Officers property inventoried a completed Victim Supplement | 74% | 81% |
| Officers noted asking/checking to see if victim had injuries | 75% | 67% |
| Officers noted in their report that Visible Injuries were present | 76% | 73% |
| Officers took Photographs of Victim's Injuries | 74% | 90% |
| Report alleges Damage to Property | 73% | 0% |
| Officer took Photographs of Damage to Property | 71% | 71% |
| Officers took Photographs of Defendant | 67% | 78% |
| Officers noted asking Victim Risk Assessment Questions | 77% | 76% |
| Victim Reported Abuse of Animal in Response to Risk Assessment | 100% | 75% |
| Officers included Dispatch Information in Report | 76% | 78% |
| Officers described Victim's Demeanor in Report | 76% | 77% |
| Officers used Quotation Marks in Report to denote exact quotes | 76% | 77% |
| Officers included Alternate Contact Information for Victim | 73% | 78% |
| Officers property inventoried Relevant Evidence | 69% | 67% |
| Officers noted asking if there were Witnesses to Incident | 76% | 76% |
| Officers noted Witness Name & Contact Information in Report | 75% | 75% |
| Officers interviewed listed Witnesses regarding Incident | 74% | 82% |
| Any Victim Contact with MCAO after initial report | 79% | 59% |
| MCAO had Victim Input at Arraignment | 76% | 77% |
| MCAO had Victim Input at Pretrial | 81% | 65% |
| Victim indicated in Pretrial Input that Police Report was Accurate | 84% | 81% |
| Victim's wishes for case resolution reported in MCAO input | Plea 20% Dismiss 47% Up to CA 8% Unknown 25% | |
| Victim Appeared on Trial Date | 100% | 56% |
| Victim Appeared on Trial date, but changed the version of events | 85% | 86% |
| Victim Appeared in Court on Trial date, but denies a crime occurs | 78% | 88% |