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* Aim: Use information from police reports to predict visible injury in victims of intimate partner violence
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The scope of the problem: Intimate partner violence as a social problem

- 1 in 3 women and 1 in 4 men are abused by an intimate partner (National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey; Black et al., 2011)
- A range of negative psychosocial outcomes (Black et al., 2011)
- Costly for society (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2003; Max, Rice, Finkelstein, Bardwell, & Leadbetter, 2004)
The scope of the problem: Intimate partner violence as a crime

- National Crime Victimization Survey (Truman & Morgan, 2014)
  - Domestic violence accounts for 1 in 5 nonfatal crime victimizations
    - Intimate partner violence most common
  - 55% of intimate partner violence incidents are reported to police
  - 45% of victims have injuries
    - Most common injuries are “minor” like “bruises or cuts”
  - 1 in 3 receive medical care
Reporting intimate partner violence to the police: Sociodemographic and incident factors

- Female victims (Akers & Kaukinen, 2009; Ackerman & Love, 2014)
  - More likely to report if:
    - Racial/ethnic minority...mediated by socio-economic status
    - Living separately (not sharing household)
    - Unmarried
    - Older
    - Perpetrator had been drinking alcohol
    - Weapon was involved
    - Victim was injured
    - Children present
    - Property damage
    - No history of intimate partner violence in the relationship
Reporting intimate partner violence to the police: ER visits

- Close to 1,000 female victims followed for 4 years (Rhodes et al., 2011)
  - Police called 3,426 times (most frequent answer per victim was 3)
  - Victim visits to the ER
    - More likely if:
      - African American
      - Unmarried
      - No children
      - Uninsured
      - More severe injuries
      - History of contact with the police
    - Greater chance of additional incidents of intimate partner violence
The scope of the problem: Demographic variables from police reports

- Police reports of domestic violence
  (Melton & Sillito, 2012; Truman & Morgan, 2014)
  - Perpetrator demographics
    - Men
    - Intimate partners
  - Victim demographics
    - Women
    - Non-Hispanic African American or biracial
    - Younger
The scope of the problem: Incident variables from police reports

- Police reports of domestic violence
  (Foran & O’Leary, 2008; Truman & Morgan, 2014)
  - Situation-specific variables
    - At home
    - Separated couples
    - 1 in 5 cases involve a weapon
    - Use of any weapon = more severe violence and repeated incidents
    - Firearms in the house increased use of a weapon
    - Substance use
The scope of the problem: Victim injuries from police reports, part 1

- Police reports of domestic violence (Black et al., 2011; Kyriacou et al., 1999; Tjaden & Thoennes, 2000; Thompson, et al., 2003, Walton-Moss, et al., 2005)
  - Female victims more likely to be injured than male victims
  - Female victims more likely to be killed than male victims
  - Predictors of injury for all victims
    - Threats from the perpetrator
    - Perpetrator under the influence of any substances
    - History of intimate partner violence
    - Weapon was involved
    - Children present
The scope of the problem: Victim injuries from police reports, part 2

- Police reports of domestic violence (Black et al., 2011; Kyriacou et al., 1999; Tjaden & Thoennes, 2000; Thompson, et al., 2003, Walton-Moss, et al., 2005)

  - Predictors for female victims
    - Perpetrator is former or current intimate partner
    - Longer relationship
    - Perpetrator has unstable employment, lower education, and poor mental health
    - History of intimate partner violence

  - Predictors for male victims
    - Weapon was involved
    - Victim was under the influence of any substances
The current study: Aim and hypotheses

- **Aim:** Use information from police reports to predict visible injury in victims of intimate partner violence

- **Police reports of intimate partner violence incidents**
  - Victims with visible injuries would differ from those without
The current study: Variables

- Demographic variables that would predict visible victim injury
  - Gender (e.g., female)
  - Ethnicity/race (e.g., minority)
  - Age (e.g., younger)
- Incident variables that would predict visible victim injury
  - Relationship status (current vs. former relationship)
  - Perpetrator’s alcohol use
  - Use of an object
  - Presence of the suspect when law enforcement arrive to the scene
The current study: Police reports

- Police reports of intimate partner violence incidents
  - Legal definition of criminal domestic violence in SC at the time of data collection
    - July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010
  - Includes:
    - Inflicting physical harm or attempt to cause injury under circumstances to create fear or imminent peril to a household member
    - A household member includes a spouse, former spouse, persons who have a child in common, or male and female who are cohabitating or formerly have cohabited
  - Excludes:
    - Same-sex relationship intimate partner violence incidents
The current study: Participants

- Police reports of intimate partner violence incidents
  - During a 1 year period
    - July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010
  - 7 police districts in South Carolina
  - N = 1,451 police reports
- For the current study:
  - Adult opposite-sex intimate partner violence cases with single arrests (n = 1,292)
  - Mean age was 33 for perpetrators and 32 for victims
  - 50% had visible injuries (n = 649)
Participant demographics: Gender

Perpetrators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All cases</th>
<th>Injuries</th>
<th>No injuries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Victims

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All cases</th>
<th>Injuries</th>
<th>No injuries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Participant demographics: Race and ethnicity

6 out of 10 police reports were from an area with 47% of the population being Black and 42% White
Participants’ relationship status

- Married
- Cohabitant
- Separated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Married</th>
<th>Cohabitant</th>
<th>Separated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All cases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injuries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No injuries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Suspect gone at the scene

% of cases:
- All cases
- Injuries
- No injuries

- Yes
- No
Use of an object

- All cases: Noted: 10%, Not noted: 90%
- Injuries: Noted: 10%, Not noted: 90%
- No injuries: Noted: 10%, Not noted: 90%
Use of an object

- Definition: an object that could cause someone pain
  - Not including body parts like fists and hands

- 17% of the cases (n = 220)
Use of an object

- **Blunt**: baseball bat, pipe, hammer, bottles
  - 39% highly lethal
  - 41% moderately lethal
  - 20% minimally lethal
- **Sharp**: knife, scissors, broken glass
  - 95% highly lethal
  - 4% minimally lethal
- **Other**: belt, shoe, pepper spray
  - 100% minimally lethal
Perpetrator alcohol use

- All cases: 70%
- Injuries: 60%
- No injuries: 80%

Legend:
- Noted
- Not noted
Visible Injuries: Outcome of interest

- 649 victims had injuries
- Total of 894 recorded injuries
- 1-5 injuries per victim
- 7 out of 10 times only one injury noted
Visible Injuries: Outcome of interest

Moderate 44%

Severe 56%
Visible Injuries: Outcome of interest

Moderate

- Slapped
- Grabbed
- Pushed
- Shoved
- Scratched
- Bit
- Cut
- Threats
- Thrown an object

Severe

Moderate 44%

Severe 56%
Visible Injuries: Outcome of interest

- Dragged
- Hit with an object
- Hit with a fist
- Slammed against wall
- Shot
- Stabbed
- Beaten
- Burned
- Kicked

Moderate 44%

Severe 56%
Visible injuries: Moderate severity

- Face/ head: 42%
- Upper extr: 31%
- Neck/ throat: 7%
- Torso/ abdomen: 9%
- Lower extr: 8%
- Spine/ back: 3%
Visible Injuries: Severe

- Face/Head: 50%
- Upper Extremity: 21%
- Neck/Throat: 14%
- Torso/Abdomen: 8%
- Lower Extremity: 6%
- Spine/Back: 1%

Visible injuries are categorized by body part.
Visible injuries: Gender

Female Victims

- Injuries
- No Injuries

Male Victims

- Injuries
- No Injuries
Visible injuries: Race and ethnicity

**White Victims**

- Injuries: 65%
- No Injuries: 35%

**Black Victims**

- Injuries: 55%
- No Injuries: 45%
Visible injuries: Relationship status
Visible injuries: Perpetrator alcohol use

![Bar chart showing alcohol use with and without injuries.](chart.png)
Predicting visible victim injuries

- **Step 1:** Victim demographic variables
  - Gender
  - Race/ethnicity
  - Age

- **Step 2:** Relationship type

- **Step 3:** Perpetrator behaviors
  - Alcohol use
  - Use of an object
  - Presence when law enforcement arrive to the scene
Predicting visible victim injuries
Male victims were 1.5 times more likely to have visible injuries compared to female victims.

White victims were 1.4 times more likely to have visible injuries compared to Black victims.
Predicting visible victim injuries

Perpetrator-victim pairs who cohabit were 1.4 times more likely to have visible injuries compared to those who were married.
Victims were 1.3 times more likely to have visible injuries when the perpetrator had used alcohol compared to when they had not.
Predicting visible victim injuries

**Male victims** were 1.5 times more likely to have visible injuries compared to female victims.

**White victims** were 1.4 times more likely to have visible injuries compared to Black victims.

Victims were 1.3 times more likely to have visible injuries when the **perpetrator had used alcohol** compared to when they had not.

Perpetrator-victim pairs who **cohabit** were 1.4 times more likely to have visible injuries compared to those who were married.
Discussion: Gender

- All police reports
  - Majority of victims were women
  - Majority of perpetrators were men
- Among the victims with visible injuries
  - Majority of victims were women
  - Majority of perpetrators were men
- Among the male victims
  - Male victims more likely to have injuries than not
- Among the female victims
  - Equal distribution between injured and non-injured

Male victims
- When does it get reported to the police?
- When do police “believe” male victims?

Visible injury
- Use of an object
Discussion: Race/ethnicity

- All police reports
  - Majority of victims and perpetrators were Black
- Among the victims with visible injuries
  - Majority of victims and perpetrators were Black
- Among the White victims
  - White victims more likely to have injuries than not
- Among the Black victims
  - Equal distribution between injured and non-injured

Black victims
- SES
- Missing injury?
Discussion: Relationship status

- All police reports
  - More likely to be separated than married or cohabitating
- Among the victims with visible injuries
  - More likely to be separated than married or cohabitating
- Among the cohabitants
  - More likely to have visible injuries than not
- Among the married couples
  - Equal distribution between injured and non-injured
- Among the separated pairs
  - Equal distribution between injured and non-injured

Limited research
Discussion: Alcohol use

- All police reports
  - 27% of cases noted by officer
- Among the victims with visible injuries
  - Perpetrator’s alcohol use was a risk factor
- No information about victims’ use of alcohol
- Police reports: check box for alcohol use
Discussion: Suspect at the scene

- Not a significant variable in this study
- Less likely to be arrested if not at the scene (Hirschel & Buzawa, 2013)
- Standardized procedures for how to locate suspects who are not at the scene?
Discussion: Use of an object

- Not a significant variable in this study

- Police reports: check box for use of objects
Limitations

- Police report data
  - Lack of standardization of information recorded
  - Missing data
  - Variables based on what was in the reports
- Participants/sample/region
- Missing SES information
- Limited information about children
Recommendations, part 1

- Systematic data gathering in police reports
  - Determine future risk for victims
  - Aid in the prosecution process
  - Improve research on these topics
Recommendations, part 2

- Specific police reports for intimate partner violence incidents
- Check boxes for important variables
  - Use of an object/weapon
  - Alcohol use by suspect
  - Alcohol use by victim
  - Children present
  - Visible injuries
  - Strangulation
Recommendations, part 3

- Systematic data gathering in police reports
  - Children – detect at risk kids; referrals
  - Victims – law enforcement’s training and response
- Inclusive standards
- Most cases unreported
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