

 **Multiple victim homicides, mass murders, and homicide-suicides as domestic violence events**

April M. Zeoli, PhD, MPH
School of Criminal Justice
Michigan State University

 Safer Families.
Safer Communities.
Strengthening Families. Reducing Violence. Changing Lives.

Acknowledgment

This webinar was supported in part by Grant No. 2016-TA-AX-K047 awarded by the Office on Violence Against Women, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, conclusions, and recommendations expressed in this program are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women.

 Safer Families.
Safer Communities.
Strengthening Families. Reducing Violence. Changing Lives.

Outline of the talk

- Multiple victim intimate partner homicide, including familicides and mass murders
- Intimate partner homicide-suicides, including those with multiple victims
- Theory on why this occurs
- Precursors to the homicides
- Use of guns
- Implications

 Safer Families.
Safer Communities.
Strengthening Families. Reducing Violence. Changing Lives.


Multiple victim intimate partner homicide

- Between 6% and 20% of intimate partner homicides involve fatal victims in addition to the intimate partner
- Additional victims include
 - Children
 - Family members
 - Friends/coworkers
 - New intimate partners
 - Police officers or strangers

Sources: Smith et al., 2014; Smucker et al., 2014; Yousuf et al., 2017



Relationship of 1,120 corollary victims to intimate partner victims in 16 states from 2003 through 2009




Source: Smith et al., 2014





Familicides

- Familicide: The killing of family members, including spouse/intimate partner, children, parents, siblings
 - 62% of familicides involved intimate partner and child murders
- Familicides (killing of intimate partner and her children) are the most common type of multiple victim intimate partner homicide.

Source: Liem et al., 2013; Liem & Reichelmann, 2014







Intimate partner homicide-suicides

- The most common type of homicide-suicide
 - 20% to 59% of all intimate partner homicides followed by the offender's suicide
 - 5% of all homicides followed by the offender's suicide

Sources: Banks et al., 2008; Barber et al., 2008; Knoll & Hatters-Friedman, 2015; Lund & Smorodinsky, 2001; Morton et al., 1998; Smucker et al., 2018; Yousuf et al., 2017

Logo: Safer Families. Safer Communities. (Strategic Domestic Violence Offenders)

Multiple victim intimate partner homicide-suicides

- Of all intimate partner homicide-suicides in 50 states from 1999 through 2004
 - Offender killed at least one child in 23% of cases
- Of all intimate partner homicide-suicides in which the couple had more than child in 50 states from 1999 through 2004
 - Offender killed all children in 21% of cases

Source: Sillito & Salari, 2011



Crimes of passion?


- Not generally.
- 83% of 150 homicides of intimate partners and children had signs of premeditation

Source: Liem & Reichelmann, 2014



Why involve corollary victims?

- Reasons of proximity
 - They are present at the time of the homicide
 - They try to intervene in the violence
- Theoretical reasons
 - Evolutionary psychology: male proprietariness theory



Male proprietariness theory

- Premise: Men are not 100% certain that children born to their female partners are biologically theirs. To achieve certainty, they may take ownership of their female partner's sexuality by limiting their freedom.
- Engaging in strategies to control one's partner is intimate partner violence.

Source: Stark, 2007; Wilson et al., 1995



When control is threatened

- Control is threatened by suggestion that partner may take a new sexual companion
- Lethal violence may be used to reassert control

Source: Stark, 2007; Wilson et al., 1995



Separation

- Intimate partner homicides, intimate partner homicide-suicides, multiple victim intimate partner homicides, parental homicide-suicides are all more likely around time of separation from a romantic partner


Sources: Campbell et al., 2007; Holland et al., 2018; Knoll & Hatters-Friedman, 2015; Koziol-McLain et al., 2006; Morton et al., 1998; Taylor, 2018



Children

- Killed in retaliation against their intimate partner, often for separation
- The homicide-suicide offender may kill children then self, leaving intimate partner alive to devastate her.
- Children may be viewed as extensions of the mother, and not their own persons (murder-by-proxy)

Sources: Cooper & Eaves, 1996; Liem, Levin, Holland, & Fox, 2013; Liem & Reichelmann, 2014; Logan et al., 2013; Sillito & Salari, 2011; Websdale, 2010; Wilczynski, 1995



Suicide-by-proxy

- Suicide may motivate some familicides
- Suicidal familicide offenders may not have known histories of partner violence (but may still have divorce/separation as precursor)
- Desire to commit suicide, but belief that their family would not survive without them (they are the leaders of their family and can decide their fate)

Sources: Sillito & Salari, 2011; Websdale, 2010



Circumstances of familicides

- Homicides tend to occur
 - In private homes
 - With guns
- Offenders tend to be
 - Non-Hispanic White
 - Male
 - ~30 to ~49 years old


Source: Liem & Reichelmann, 2014; Logan et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2014



Precursors to the homicides

- Separation (or threat of separation) and related issues
 - Child custody
- Separation increased risk of intimate partner homicide-suicide over 2x of risk of nonfatal abuse

Source: Holland et al., 2018; Knoll & Hatters-Friedman, 2015; Koziol-McLain et al., 2006; Morton et al., 1998; Sillito & Salari, 2011




Precursors to the homicides

- “Relationship issues”
 - Intimate partner violence, restraining orders, arguments
- 80% of 238 cases of familicide (including those that didn’t involve children or intimate partner deaths) had “intimate partner problems”

Source: Liem & Reichelmann, 2014



Percent of mass murderers with key characteristics from 2006-2016



Source: Fridel, 2018



Premeditation

- Written or verbal threats or warnings in 70% of familicides
 - Some are only minutes before, but some were days before the homicide
 - There may be time to intervene in some cases!
- Threats of suicide doubles risk of intimate partner homicide-suicide

Source: Holland et al., 2018; Knoll & Hatters-Friedman, 2015; Koziol-McLain et al., 2006; Liem & Reichelmann, 2014



Mental health

- 30% of those who committed parental homicide-suicide were known to have mental health concerns (but 74% known to have “intimate partner problems”)
- 21% of male and 52% of female homicide-suicide offenders who killed their children had mental health problems


Sources: Holland et al., 2018; Logan et al., 2013



Use of guns

- Guns are deadlier than other weapons
 - Comparing cases of intimate partner femicide to worst episodes of intimate partner violence, cases where the abuser used a gun were 41 times more likely to end in death

Sources: Campbell et al., 2003; Saltzman et al., 1992




Gun use in intimate partner homicide-suicide

- “Among men who kill their female partners with a firearm, homicide-suicide is the norm” (Barber et al., 2008, p. 293).
- Used in 61% to 89% of intimate partner homicide-suicides

Sources: Banks et al., 2008; Koziol-McLain et al., 2006; Smucker et al., 2018



Percentage and number of mass murders that are mass shootings from 2006-2016




Source: Fridel, 2018



Implications

- Threats and other warning signs offer opportunity to intervene
 - Red flag laws / gun violence restraining orders / extreme risk protection orders

“As suicidal batterers will not be deterred from [intimate partner homicide] by threat of punishment, the results [of this research] underline the importance of preemption by limiting batterers’ access to guns” (Smucker et al., 2018, p. 337).



Gun restrictions

- Domestic violence restraining order gun restriction associated with reductions in intimate partner homicide
- Violent misdemeanor gun restriction associated with reductions in intimate partner homicide

Sources: Vigdor & Mercy, 2006; Zeoli et al., 2010; Zeoli et al., 2018



Association of DVRO provisions with IPH and gun IPH compared with no state DVRO firearm restriction law^a

Total IPH		Gun IPH	
State has DVRO law and...	Associated reduction ^b	State has DVRO law and...	Associated reduction ^b
Dates not covered	--	Dates not covered	--
Dates covered	13%	Dates covered	16%
Ex parte not covered	--	Ex parte not covered	--
Ex parte orders covered	13%	Ex parte orders covered	16%
No relinquishment law	--	No relinquishment law	--
Relinquishment law	12%	Relinquishment law	16%

^a Each provision tested in a separate model (ie, 6 models represented)

^b Associated reductions reported only for those estimates that reached statistical significance at $p < .05$

Source: Zeoli et al., 2018

• **THANK YOU!!!**
QUESTIONS?

